1

1	1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE	UNITED STATES
2	2x	
3	3 LESTER RAY NICHOLS, :	
4	4 Petitioner :	No. 15-5238
5	5 v. :	
6	6 UNITED STATES. :	
7	7x	
8	8 Washington,	o.c.
9	9 Tuesday, Mar	ch 1, 2016
10	0	
11	1 The above-entitled ma	tter came on for oral
12	2 argument before the Supreme Court o	f the United States
13	3 at 10:13 a.m.	
14	4 APPEARANCES:	
15	DANIEL T. HANSMEIER, ESQ., Kansas C	ity, Kan.; on behalf
16	of Petitioner.	
17	7 CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ., Assistant to	o the Solicitor
18	General, Department of Justice,	Washington, D.C.; on
19	behalf of Respondent.	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	DANIEL T. HANSMEIER, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	20
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	DANIEL T. HANSMEIER, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	53
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:13 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	first this morning in Case No. 15-5238, Nichols v.
5	United States.
6	Mr. Hansmeier.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF DANIEL T. HANSMEIER
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
9	MR. HANSMEIER: Mr. Chief Justice, and may
10	it please the Court:
11	Until three weeks ago, a sex offender who
12	moved to a foreign country was not subject to any
13	Federal registration requirement in the United States
14	unless and until he returned to the United States.
15	Below, the Tenth Circuit nonetheless held that it is a
16	Federal crime for a sex offender who moves to a foreign
17	country to fail to to report that move. The Tenth
18	Circuit was wrong for three overarching reasons. First
19	SORNA's text does not include a requirement to
20	unregister.
21	Second, SORNA's statutory history reveals
22	that if Congress wanted to include a requirement to
23	unregister, it would have done so just as it did before
24	SORNA's enactment. And finally, a requirement to
25	unregister is unnecessary because all 56 jurisdictions

- 1 require sex offenders to unregister. Failure to do so
- 2 is a violation of the jurisdiction's law and not Federal
- 3 law.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That would be true of
- 5 Kansas law in this case. It would have violated the
- 6 Kansas law. Is that true?
- 7 MR. HANSMEIER: He had an -- he had a
- 8 requirement to tell Kansas of his departure, correct.
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: How does it work
- 10 normally? Say a person under SORNA leaves Kansas and
- 11 goes to Illinois and registers in Illinois. Is there
- 12 any obligation, one, for him to register or deregister
- in Kansas, and does Illinois have an obligation to
- 14 notify Kansas?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Correct. So what happens in
- 16 practice is that SORNA sets minimum Federal standards.
- 17 And those minimum Federal standards are supplemented by
- 18 the States, the additional, more stringent requirements.
- 19 One of those more stringent requirements is the
- 20 obligation to unregister, and, yes, Kansas has that
- 21 obligation.
- 22 So in your hypothetical, Justice Ginsburg,
- 23 that individual has to unregister in Kansas under State
- law, and when he gets to Illinois, that's the Federal
- 25 requirement to tell Illinois -- to register, to update

- 1 the registration in Illinois because that's the
- 2 jurisdiction where he resides. And in Illinois under
- 3 16921(b)(3) will tell -- will tell Kansas of the -- of
- 4 the move.
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And this is in addition
- 6 to the -- the other venues.
- 7 MR. HANSMEIER: If there are any, correct.
- 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So it would be both
- 9 Federal and a State requirement. But in one case, it's
- 10 on the SORNA person and the other, it's the other State.
- 11 MR. HANSMEIER: I think that's correct, yes.
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Under --
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What sense does it
- 14 make if you have Federal law that says we're going to
- 15 add this -- these -- these sanctions when you violate
- 16 the -- the State law? And in the one case, if you don't
- 17 register, you're triggered and State law says, well,
- 18 you've got to unregister too. But if you unregister --
- 19 if you don't unregister, that's not included under the
- 20 Federal law? Why would -- why would Congress draft a
- 21 law like that?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well, I -- I think they
- 23 would have done that because -- and if you look at the
- 24 legislative history, I think this is somewhat clear.
- 25 What Congress was concerned about were missing sex

- 1 offenders not at initial registration but when -- when
- 2 the sex offender crossed State lines. So -- so by -- by
- 3 requiring registration when you get to the jurisdiction,
- 4 that -- that was the aim because that's when offenders
- 5 were going missing.
- Now, the -- the departure jurisdiction would
- 7 be a relationship between -- very much a relationship
- 8 between the State and its citizens. And that -- that's
- 9 played out if you think of a State sex offender who
- 10 could live in Kansas. And if he were to have a Federal
- 11 requirement to unregister in Kansas, that's actually not
- 12 enforceable under SORNA because the travel has to come
- 13 after or before he's -- he can't register.
- 14 So if Mr. Nichols were a State sex offender
- 15 and -- he's only committed an offense when he leaves
- 16 Kansas. And that doesn't -- and that's a problem if
- 17 we're saying that there's a Federal requirement to
- 18 unregister in -- in the departure jurisdiction. Now,
- 19 that may work for Federal offenders, but I think the
- 20 idea is that there are Federalism concerns underlying
- 21 SORNA. And those Federalism concerns come into play
- 22 when an individual crosses State lines, and that's the
- 23 minimum requirement in SORNA.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but there's no
- 25 problem to require him to register, but all of a sudden

- 1 there is a problem to require him to unregister?
- 2 MR. HANSMEIER: Well, it's not a problem.
- 3 It's just SORNA left it to the States.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: They left the
- 5 unregistering to the States but not the registering?
- 6 MR. HANSMEIER: Not a -- not the updating
- 7 the registration in a new State, correct.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm curious. You
- 9 responded to Justice Ginsburg that he did violate State
- 10 law. Could the United States have asked for an
- 11 extradition based on the State law violation? Is this a
- 12 permanent hole or did they just pick the wrong law to
- 13 seek extradition under?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well, Justice Ginsburg's
- 15 example was interstate travel.
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, no, no. I'm saying,
- 17 they asked him to be --
- MR. HANSMEIER: But you're talking --
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- returned from --
- 20 where he had fled to? Philippines.
- MR. HANSMEIER: Mr. Nichols moved --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Under the SORNA
- 23 violation --
- MR. HANSMEIER: Correct.
- 25 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- could they have asked

- 1 for him to be returned under the State law violation?
- 2 MR. HANSMEIER: There's actually a provision
- 3 in SORNA, I think it's 19 -- 16917, which requires
- 4 Federal -- the marshals, Federal officials to assist the
- 5 States in enforcing the registration requirement.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So is that a yes?
- 7 MR. HANSMEIER: I think yes.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So really what we're
- 9 talking about is that they asked for the extradition
- 10 under the wrong law.
- 11 MR. HANSMEIER: I think that's exactly
- 12 right. And -- and Mr. Nichols was also on Federal
- 13 supervised release, so there was a connection there that
- 14 -- the connection to the Federal aspect of it was his --
- 15 was his supervision.
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Did leave -- did leaving
- 17 the country without notice violate the terms of his
- 18 supervised release?
- 19 MR. HANSMEIER: It did. And he was -- his
- 20 supervised release was revoked. He -- he received a
- 21 ten-month sentence for that. And then they still
- 22 prosecuted him Federally, and he got another six-month
- 23 sentence.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Are you -- it's mentioned
- in one -- one of the briefs -- I don't have the

- 1 reference to it --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- at hand. What would
- 4 happen if he decides he's -- and this is all just within
- 5 the United States, no foreign travel -- he decides that
- 6 he wants to move to the West Coast, so he checks out of
- 7 Kansas. But he's not sure where he wants to live, so he
- 8 drives to Washington, Oregon, California, takes two
- 9 weeks. What would the requirement for registration be
- 10 there?
- 11 MR. HANSMEIER: I think it gets a little
- 12 confusing with the interstate travel because we don't
- 13 really address it because there is a jurisdiction.
- 14 There is an arrival jurisdiction. I think under our
- 15 rule, what 16913(c) says is that you don't unregister.
- 16 You -- you register in the arrival jurisdiction. Now,
- 17 there may be --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: But the arrival
- 19 jurisdiction is not your residence yet. He doesn't know
- 20 what it's going to be. He's just there two days. And
- 21 he's going to go two days, and he's going to look
- 22 around.
- 23 MR. HANSMEIER: So I -- I think SORNA
- 24 doesn't do a good job of this. The definition of --
- 25 they define "resides" as the location of one's home or

- 1 the location where one habitually lives. And so I do
- 2 think that SORNA does not do a good job of this, but I'm
- 3 not sure that that wasn't unintended. Because if you
- 4 think about the -- the -- the window, the
- 5 three-business-day window --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Yes.
- 7 MR. HANSMEIER: -- that -- that actually
- 8 creates a system where an individual is not on the
- 9 registry for a certain amount of time. If you think of
- 10 a change of name, which you have to report, if you have
- 11 three business days to do that, there is going to be up
- 12 to five days where that registry is inaccurate, and
- 13 that's just the way it is.
- So I think, although we might not want to
- 15 admit it or -- but the -- the reality is that SORNA
- 16 actually creates these gaps by allowing offenders some
- 17 period of time to register. And I think Congress did
- 18 that because it knew the States could supplement SORNA.
- 19 These are just minimum standards.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: You have a textual argument
- 21 that I -- that I understand, but one of the arguments
- 22 you mentioned at the outset was that an examination of
- 23 the legislative history shows that Congress intended to
- 24 produce the sort of result that was produced here, and I
- 25 wonder if that's really a plausible argument.

- If you're correct, then two things happen;
- 2 at least two things happen. One, Kansas retains on its
- 3 record an inaccurate -- inaccurate information about the
- 4 presence of a sex offender, which can have a lot of
- 5 adverse consequences for a lot of people. I don't see
- 6 why Congress would have wanted that to remain.
- 7 And do you really think Congress was
- 8 unconcerned about the United States exporting pedophiles
- 9 to the Philippines or to other countries? Do you think
- 10 that -- that they were not concerned about not only the
- 11 human rights implications of that, but our relations
- 12 with other countries?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well -- well, I think if you
- 14 look at the legislative history, there -- there's
- 15 nothing to indicate that -- that SORNA in 2006 had
- 16 anything to do with international travel. And I think
- 17 the new legislation is important in this regard, because
- 18 whether -- I don't -- I don't know that I said
- 19 "intended." If I said that, I didn't mean it. I think
- 20 the -- the history of it shows more or less that in
- 21 1996, each State had a registration system. One year
- 22 later, Congress required departure notification,
- 23 required unregistration. By 2006, that had happened in
- 24 all the jurisdictions.
- 25 So I think what I mean by the "statutory

- 1 history" is that that's -- that's an indication why
- 2 there was no unregistration put in SORNA, because it
- 3 existed in all the jurisdictions.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if I could then come
- 5 back to Justice Ginsburg's hypothetical of someone like
- 6 your client who moves from Kansas to Illinois, what, in
- 7 the language of the statute, would prevent that person
- 8 from going to the registry office in Kansas before
- 9 departing and reporting there that he was moving to
- 10 Illinois and his new address in Illinois would be
- 11 whatever it would be? Why does that not fit under this
- 12 -- under the language of the statute? Or would you
- 13 agree that it does?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well, I would agree that
- 15 there's nothing that prevents him from doing that, and I
- 16 would agree that he should do that under State law,
- 17 but --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if you -- if you agree
- 19 to that, then I don't see why -- why there is a
- 20 difference between that situation and the situation
- 21 where the -- the person leaves Kansas and goes to the
- 22 Philippines.
- 23 MR. HANSMEIER: Well, what -- but I don't
- 24 agree that it's a -- it's sufficient or a Federal
- 25 requirement to do it before. That -- I think that's the

- 1 distinction I would make --
- 2 JUSTICE ALITO: Why would it not be --
- 3 MR. HANSMEIER: -- it's not a requirement.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Why would it not be
- 5 sufficient under 42 U.S.C. 16913(c)?
- 6 MR. HANSMEIER: Well, because that provision
- 7 is still going to require -- and the government, I
- 8 think, agrees with this. There is still a -- the -- the
- 9 requirement is to report in the arrival jurisdiction.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, it says, "shall not,
- 11 later than three business days after each change of
- 12 residence, appear in person in at least one jurisdiction
- involved pursuant to subsection (a) and inform that
- 14 jurisdiction of the change of address."
- So prior to leaving, he is within the period
- 16 of not later than what -- than three business days after
- 17 the change of residence. And at that time when he goes
- 18 to the registry office in Kansas, he is residing in
- 19 Kansas. So he falls within subsection (a).
- 20 MR. HANSMEIER: Well, this is on -- the text
- 21 is on page 11A of the blue book. I think -- I think
- 22 what's -- the blue brief. I think what's important
- 23 is -- is if you looked directly above subsection (c) to
- 24 subsection (e), I think this is what you're really
- 25 saying Congress did. Subsection (b) sets forth two

- 1 subsections, the first of which begins with the word
- 2 "before." The second of which begins with the phrase
- 3 "not later than three business days after."
- 4 So I think if Congress had meant to do
- 5 something structurally similar in subsection (c), it
- 6 would have done it, but it didn't. Instead, it just did
- 7 "not later than three business days after." And -- and
- 8 I think the change is a trigger, and I think that's --
- 9 that's key, and it's similar to subsection (b)(2) where
- 10 the trigger is being sentenced. And -- and so that
- 11 event has to happen, that occurrence has to happen
- 12 before you report it.
- And so in subsection (c), until the change
- 14 happens, you can't really report it and satisfy this
- 15 provision.
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But now, under current
- 17 law, under the 2016 change --
- 18 MR. HANSMEIER: Right.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- is it -- it's clear
- 20 that anyone under SORNA who leaves the country --
- MR. HANSMEIER: Right.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- has to give notice --
- MR. HANSMEIER: Right.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- to the jurisdiction
- 25 from which he departs.

- 1 MR. HANSMEIER: Correct. And the
- 2 statutory --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: So they're talking about
- 4 only the people who were -- were pre -- pre-2016 people.
- 5 MR. HANSMEIER: We're talking about a very
- 6 small subset, I assume, unless the Federal government
- 7 were to go out and indict a bunch of people who have
- 8 traveled prior to February 8th for this.
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: What bearing on your
- 10 argument does the last sentence at the bottom of 11(a),
- 11 the last sentence of C have? That jurisdiction, in
- 12 other words, the jurisdiction where he registered, shall
- immediately provide that information to all other
- 14 jurisdictions in which the defendant is required to
- 15 register. Here, there were none.
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well, correct. And -- and
- 17 typically, there may not be. But that -- that language,
- 18 I think, captures the defendant -- for instance, where
- 19 we come from, a lot of people live in Kansas and work in
- 20 Missouri. That -- that sentence would capture that
- 21 situation where there is a -- or a -- an -- an
- 22 employment jurisdiction that's different than a
- 23 residence jurisdiction. Then the employment
- 24 jurisdiction would notify the residence jurisdiction or
- 25 vice versa.

- 1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: My question was, does --
- 2 does this have significant relevance to your argument
- 3 here?
- 4 MR. HANSMEIER: Does it help?
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Yes.
- 6 MR. HANSMEIER: I -- I don't think it -- I
- 7 just think it's how it's -- I don't know that it helps.
- 8 It's --
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: There was a reference to
- 10 a form that everyone under SORNA signs that acknowledges
- 11 a requirement to register on commencement change,
- 12 termination of residence, and give 21 days notice before
- 13 traveling outside the United States.
- Nichols signed such a form, did he not?
- MR. HANSMEIER: He did.
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And what you're saying is
- 17 that that form has no operative effect, the fact that he
- 18 didn't do what he -- what he undertook to do in the form
- 19 is not a Federal offense.
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well, what I'm saying is
- 21 that that's a State form. That's actually the State of
- 22 Kansas. So SORNA is implemented by the States. So each
- 23 State -- there's not some Federal form for sex offenders
- 24 to sign. There are no Federal registration offices.
- 25 There are no Federal officials who do these things.

- 1 This is a local thing. A lot of it is county sheriffs.
- 2 It would be a State form. Every State would have a
- 3 different form.
- 4 So what you are referring to, those are
- 5 quotation summaries of Kansas law, and not Federal law,
- 6 because if you're in Kansas, you have to -- you are
- 7 required to register, to update your registration as
- 8 required by Kansas law, which is much more in-depth than
- 9 Federal law. And so that's where that language comes
- 10 from.
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But it's the same
- 12 register, that is -- you said there's no separate
- 13 Federal entity. So all these requirements in SORNA
- 14 would be satisfied by informing the State office. Is
- 15 that --
- MR. HANSMEIER: Well, I'm saying, in
- 17 practice, that's what has to happen. There's no Federal
- 18 person you can tell. You register with the State
- 19 people.
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I find interesting the
- 21 new legislation. As I see it, it's getting away from
- 22 where you reside or anything else. It just says if
- 23 you're going to travel to a foreign place, you have to
- 24 tell the United States, correct?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Yes.

- 1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Is there anything in
- 2 that -- in that law that requires the U.S. to tell the
- 3 State the person's left -- that they've left?
- 4 MR. HANSMEIER: I think there is a provision
- 5 for that.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I didn't -- I didn't
- 7 have a complete chance to go through it.
- 8 MR. HANSMEIER: I don't know that that
- 9 provision made its way into the -- the government's
- 10 supplemental brief.
- 11 Yes. I think at (4)(a), if I'm reading this
- 12 correctly, there's an implementation provision.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So the problem at issue
- 14 here has been completely resolved by new legislation?
- MR. HANSMEIER: Oh, I think that's
- 16 absolutely true. I think if there -- whether there was
- 17 a gap or not, whether it was intended or not, the bottom
- 18 line is that it's gone away. And there -- there's no
- 19 reason to take an atextual interpretation of 16913(c).
- 20 I -- I do want to mention something this
- 21 Court said in Reynolds, because I think this Court is on
- 22 the same path as us. Reynolds was the second SORNA case
- 23 that the Court heard. And there was -- there's a point
- 24 in Reynolds in which the Court is talking about the
- 25 defendant, and it says -- it says that he was in prison,

- 1 he was released, he registered in Missouri, but he moved
- 2 to Pennsylvania. And it says "without updating his
- 3 Missouri information," and then this Court puts in
- 4 parentheses, "as Missouri law required and without
- 5 registering in Pennsylvania." Then it goes on to talk
- 6 about how the failure to register in Pennsylvania is a
- 7 16139(c) offense. That's our position. And I think
- 8 this Court -- you said it in Reynolds. It had nothing
- 9 to do with the holding. But I -- I do think that's --
- 10 that's in this Court's mind, at least.
- 11 And -- and I do want to note that the
- 12 government's reading defining the supplemental
- 13 jurisdiction is one where the defendant appears as a
- 14 resident on the -- on -- on a registry creates a really
- 15 weird situation where Mr. Nichols, when he lived in the
- 16 Philippines, because he still appeared on the Kansas
- 17 registry, under SORNA, he still lives there. He still
- 18 resides there. And that's just not right under the
- 19 statute and under the way SORNA defines "resides." And
- 20 it's just not right as a matter of common sense. You
- 21 don't reside in Kansas when you actually live in the
- 22 Philippines.
- 23 And with that, if there are no further
- 24 questions, thank you.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.

1	Mr. Gannon.	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF CURTIS E. GANNON	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT	
4	MR. GANNON: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it	
5	please the Court:	
6	SORNA required Petitioner to register and to	
7	keep his registration information current. This is not	
8	an unregistration requirement, but a requirement to keep	
9	his registration current in the jurisdictions where he	
10	was registered by timely giving notice in any change of	
11	residence to at least one jurisdiction involved.	
12	And we disagree with Petitioner. We think	
13	Kansas was a jurisdiction involved pursuant to	
14	subsection (a) here, both because of the meaning of that	
15	phrase, which is more capacious than just taking down	
16	the phrase that appeared in subsection (a), and also	
17	because it's reinforced by Section 16921(b)(3).	
18	JUSTICE KENNEDY: Is it your position that	
19	he was required to notify Kansas both that he was	
20	terminating his residence and that he was moving to the	
21	Philippines, or just that he was terminating his	
22	residence?	
23	MR. GANNON: Here it's just that he's	
24	terminating his residence. He could have given	
25	information about where he was going.	

1 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But he was not required 2 to? 3 MR. GANNON: But that is -- that is not what 4 he was being required to do here. He was being required 5 to keep his registration information current by saying 6 where he will -- where -- where he is residing, and he 7 will -- he is no longer going to be residing here. 8 The phrase that --9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I -- I think your answer 10 was clear, but I didn't understand it. 11 Would it -- in your view --12 MR. GANNON: The --13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- would it have been 14 compliant with SORNA simply to say I am leaving Kansas, 15 period? 16 MR. GANNON: That would have complied with his obligation to keep his registration information 17 current with the jurisdiction involved, yes. 18 19 The -- we do think that Section 16914(a)(3) 20 allows him to report where he resides or will reside, 21 and therefore, he can report future information, and 22 therefore, the guidelines, promulgated by the Attorney 23 General in 2008, says that normally when somebody's 24 moving from one jurisdiction to another jurisdiction, he

should tell Kansas, I am leaving Kansas and this is

25

- 1 where I'm going next, so Kansas can give that other
- 2 jurisdiction warning.
- 3 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But the -- the Philippines
- 4 is not a jurisdiction under the statute.
- 5 MR. GANNON: That's correct. And so what we
- 6 think is key here is that Kansas is still a jurisdiction
- 7 involved pursuant to subsection (a). Congress didn't
- 8 say a jurisdiction described in subsection (a); it said
- 9 a jurisdiction involved pursuant to subsection (a).
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, all right.
- 11 How is that the case since subsection (a) refers to each
- 12 jurisdiction where the offender resides, is an employee
- or a student? When he's in the Philippines, there's no
- 14 such jurisdiction covered by SORNA where he resides, is
- 15 an employee, or is a student.
- 16 MR. GANNON: That's correct, Mr. Chief
- 17 Justice, but we think that that's not the only thing
- 18 that is pulled in by the phrase, "jurisdiction involved
- 19 pursuant to subsection (a)." He is required to register
- 20 while he's a registrant in Kansas. He's required to
- 21 keep --
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But how is that --
- MR. GANNON: At --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Where is under (a)
- 25 something that says it's the jurisdiction where you used

- 1 to be required to register?
- 2 MR. GANNON: It's -- it's the part that says
- 3 he has to keep the registration information current.
- 4 And subsection (c) informs us what it means to keep the
- 5 registration --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But where --
- 7 MR. GANNON: -- information current. That's
- 8 further down on the page. This is page 7A of the
- 9 government's brief.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but he only
- 11 has to keep the registration current if there is a
- 12 jurisdiction where he -- covered jurisdiction where he
- 13 resides, is an employee or is a student.
- MR. GANNON: Well.
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Otherwise that
- obligation is inoperative because there's no
- 17 registration place in the Philippines.
- MR. GANNON: Well, we -- we disagree that
- 19 Kansas is not a -- is not a jurisdiction involved. But
- 20 even if it were not such a jurisdiction, subsection (c)
- 21 requires him to give notice to at least one
- 22 jurisdiction. And as Justice Alito has already
- 23 explained --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well --
- 25 MR. GANNON: -- it is also the case here

- 1 that he could have complied with that by giving the
- 2 notice before he left Kansas --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, right.
- 4 MR. GANNON: -- when he was in dispute --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But he doesn't have
- 6 to comply with it until later than three business days
- 7 after there's a change.
- MR. GANNON: That's not --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So he --
- 10 MR. GANNON: He doesn't --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Shall not later than
- 12 three business days after each change? That's not true?
- 13 MR. GANNON: It is true that he has to
- 14 comply with it not later than three business days. He
- 15 doesn't have an option of just not doing it. And so if
- 16 he can't comply with it on business day one or business
- 17 day two or business day three thereafter, then maybe he
- 18 should have complied with it by informing Kansas before
- 19 he left.
- 20 The --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, maybe he
- 22 should have. Maybe he should have. But he may also
- 23 have thought, when it says at least one jurisdiction
- 24 involved pursuant to subsection (a), and subsection (a)
- 25 says where he resides, then maybe there's no

- 1 jurisdiction involved under --
- 2 MR. GANNON: But --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- under the --
- 4 MR. GANNON: It does say where he resides.
- 5 But it also says he has to keep the registration
- 6 information current there. And we think that Section
- 7 16921 --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Current where?
- 9 MR. GANNON: In -- in the jurisdictions
- 10 where he has been registered, where he is residing, and
- 11 --
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: But it is current.
- MR. GANNON: -- and --
- 14 JUSTICE KAGAN: It is current at all the
- 15 time in which he resides there.
- MR. GANNON: That is true. I -- I certainly
- 17 take the point. But we think that Congress is using a
- 18 more capacious phrase in subsection (c) when it says,
- 19 "jurisdiction involved pursuant to subsection (a)."
- 20 Kansas is inescapably involved because he has been
- 21 registered there. And we think that this is reinforced
- 22 by Section 16921(b)(3) --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, then, you're just
- 24 reading pursuant to subsection (a) out of the statute.
- MR. GANNON: No. I'm reading it to mean

- 1 something --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Excuse me, Mr. Gannon.
- It tells you exactly what it means to be a
- 4 jurisdiction involved. It means a jurisdiction involved
- 5 pursuant to a particular subsection which focuses only
- 6 on his current residence. And all the time he was a
- 7 current resident of Kansas, the registry was accurate.
- 8 MR. GANNON: I understand that, Justice
- 9 Kagan, but our view is that this is not the same as
- 10 saying a jurisdiction described in subsection (a). It's
- 11 a more capacious word to say it's involved pursuant to
- 12 subsection (a).
- We know that Kansas is involved here. And
- 14 Section 16921(b)(3) makes it clear that Kansas continues
- 15 to be involved because that's the provision that says
- 16 that as soon as the new change in residence information
- is conveyed to at least one jurisdiction, that
- 18 jurisdiction has to give it to every jurisdiction --
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right.
- 20 MR. GANNON: -- from or to which the change
- 21 of residence occurs. So --
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I understand -- I
- 23 understand your argument about 16914(a)(3), but how
- 24 many -- what's the -- the penalty for -- the maximum
- 25 penalty for violating this provision?

- 1 MR. GANNON: I -- I believe it's ten years.
- 2 It's --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yeah. I think
- 4 it's --
- 5 MR. GANNON: -- for violating the Federal
- 6 provision.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- ten years.
- 8 So you think somebody is supposed to look at
- 9 this and say, should I register? Obviously he doesn't
- 10 want to register or unregister, whatever it is. And he
- 11 says, okay, it says "involved," but that's different
- 12 than described in. And (a) says "jurisdiction where the
- offender resides," and there's no place to register
- 14 where he currently resides. And then he's supposed to
- 15 say, ah, but 16914(a)(3) says "resides or will reside."
- MR. GANNON: And 6 --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's an awful lot
- 18 to ask a -- a layperson to parse at the penalty of ten
- 19 years for being wrong.
- MR. GANNON: Well, there's --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: For a registration
- 22 violation.
- 23 MR. GANNON: It's -- it's also a State law
- 24 offense. And he signed notices, as Justice Ginsburg
- 25 pointed out, explaining that he had an obligation to

- 1 report the termination of his residence --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Then why wasn't --
- 3 MR. GANNON: -- to Kansas.
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why wasn't the State
- 5 violation pursued? So he's in the Philippines, and he's
- 6 extradited because on the government's reading of SORNA.
- 7 But he did commit an offense, it's conceded, under State
- 8 law.
- 9 MR. GANNON: That's true, Justice Ginsburg,
- 10 and that's going to be true in virtually every single
- 11 case of Section 2250.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why wasn't the
- 13 extradition sought under that which is clear and certain
- 14 instead of a -- a provision where there has to be a
- 15 strained interpretation?
- MR. GANNON: Well, maybe we should have
- 17 sought that as well, but we did think we had a strong
- 18 Federal interest in this particular prosecution for
- 19 multiple reasons. He was a Federal sex offender. And
- 20 as the Court has already recognized, the United States
- 21 has a special interest in ensuring that Federal --
- 22 JUSTICE BREYER: In your interpretation.
- 23 Let's look at this. It's the word "involved." And
- you're saying it means ever involved; is that right?
- 25 MR. GANNON: No. It means involved at the

- 1 -- at the point in time during the three business days
- 2 where the change in residence has happened and needs to
- 3 be notified. And so we --
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: I see.
- 5 MR. GANNON: -- do think that the
- 6 sentence --
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: In other words, it doesn't
- 8 mean "ever involved," and it doesn't mean "now
- 9 involved." It means involved during the three business
- 10 days where da-da-da-da-da-da-da.
- MR. GANNON: It -- it means --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: And a person is supposed to
- 13 understand that.
- MR. GANNON: It --
- JUSTICE BREYER: In fact, you're supposed to
- 16 read that into the silence.
- 17 MR. GANNON: It means --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Now, that's, to me, a
- 19 little farfetched, would you say?
- MR. GANNON: No. I don't think it's
- 21 farfetched because I think that it means it's involved
- 22 -- it's a jurisdiction involved in the change.
- 23 JUSTICE BREYER: It's a jurisdiction --
- MR. GANNON: It's a --
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: -- that was involved.

- 1 MR. GANNON: No. It's involved in the
- 2 change in residence because --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Ah.
- 4 MR. GANNON: -- we know he's changing from
- 5 Kansas to somewhere else. And so Kansas is inescapably
- 6 involved --
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: I see. And --
- 8 MR. GANNON: -- in the exchange of
- 9 information.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. I'm trying to
- 11 understand it. It is a jurisdiction that is involved in
- 12 a special way. It is a jurisdiction that used to be a
- 13 residence, and it is no longer a residence. And that
- 14 creates an involvement even after they're no longer a
- 15 residence.
- 16 You know, the more I explain it, the less I
- 17 understand it.
- 18 (Laughter.)
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: That may be my fault,
- 20 but --
- MR. GANNON: Well, perhaps it's mine as
- 22 well. But I think that it's involved because he is
- 23 still registered there as a resident. And we know that
- 24 the fundamental purpose of this law, in order to have a
- 25 sex offender registry, is to provide an accurate listing

- 1 of where sex offenders reside --
- JUSTICE BREYER: I'm firmly for purposes,
- 3 but this one is a -- a little tough, because as I read
- 4 it, literally, at all, I don't -- I don't get an
- 5 interpretation -- and you could do the same thing.
- 6 You're supposed to -- and you seem to be saying that.
- 7 Look, you said you're supposed -- they're supposed to
- 8 keep the registration current. Now, maybe there's a
- 9 requirement somewhere that says when you're thinking of
- 10 leaving, before you leave, you have to go tell the
- 11 people before you leave of where you're thinking of
- 12 going. So then I looked up what you have to have in
- 13 here, and it says the attorney general can have
- 14 regulations that would say something like I just said.
- 15 But I take it there are no such regulations. And I take
- 16 it when it -- it says, you know, the kinds of things you
- 17 have to report, I guess. You know, you could get
- 18 something like that out of it, but you're not arguing
- 19 that.
- MR. GANNON: No, we're arguing that he
- 21 has --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.
- 23 MR. GANNON: -- an obligation to ensure that
- 24 the information in the registry is current. That the
- 25 purpose of the registry is to enable to us to have a

- 1 listing, to have the jurisdiction have a listing of
- 2 where sex offenders reside, are employed, or are a
- 3 student. And it has failed in that purpose if it
- 4 inaccurately says he is living in Leavenworth, Kansas,
- 5 when that is the one place that he is not living.
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: So he's been living for 15
- 7 years in the Philippines where he is employed. He now
- 8 changes his employment and he goes to work in Thailand.
- 9 Now does he have to tell Kansas?
- 10 MR. GANNON: No, because --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Why is it? I mean, Kansas
- 12 was once involved.
- 13 MR. GANNON: Because -- no. Because Kansas
- 14 was not a registration where he was listed as being
- 15 employed. If he were moving his job, his employer --
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, what he's done is he's
- 17 lived in the Philippines for 15 years, and now he's
- 18 changed his residence to South Korea.
- 19 Does he have to tell Kansas?
- MR. GANNON: No, Justice Breyer.
- JUSTICE BREYER: But --
- MR. GANNON: We believe that this
- 23 requirement is about updating the registration
- 24 information associated with his residence in Kansas.
- 25 And we think that Kansas remains involved. So long as

- 1 the registry says he is living in Leavenworth, Kansas --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Gannon --
- 3 MR. GANNON: -- and he has not yet given
- 4 notification to at least one jurisdiction, that that is
- 5 no longer true.
- 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: It seems to me that what
- 7 you're saying is that each of those offenders is
- 8 supposed to recognize the underlying purpose of the law,
- 9 which is to have accurate registries, and to do
- 10 everything he can to serve that underlying purpose. And
- 11 we would -- you know, it would be good if everybody did
- 12 that. But the text of the law is something different.
- 13 And if you look at this text of the law, there's just no
- 14 way that a reasonable reader reads that text and says it
- 15 requires me to provide departure notification when I
- 16 leave to another country.
- 17 MR. GANNON: Well --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: So you can say well, he's
- 19 supposed to keep it current, and -- and that's right.
- 20 He is -- he should keep it current, because we would all
- 21 like sex registry. But the statute provides very
- 22 specific details about what it means to keep it current,
- 23 and it seems to me he has conformed with every single
- 24 one of those requirements.
- 25 MR. GANNON: And we just don't think that's

- 1 true, because Kansas was a jurisdiction that he could
- 2 have informed while he was still a resident. That
- 3 doesn't even require this reading of --
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: You know, he could have, and
- 5 he should have.
- 6 MR. GANNON: -- and that would have --
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: But he didn't have to
- 8 under --
- 9 MR. GANNON: -- but --
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- this statutory language.
- 11 MR. GANNON: But it is subsection (c) that
- 12 provides the content for what it means to keep the
- 13 registration current, and it says you have to inform at
- 14 least one jurisdiction. He informed zero jurisdictions.
- 15 That's the problem here.
- 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: It -- it says he has to have
- 17 informed at least one jurisdiction involved pursuant to
- 18 subsection (a), and subsection (a) makes clear that the
- 19 only jurisdictions that they're talking about are the
- 20 jurisdictions in which he currently either lives, works,
- 21 or is a student.
- 22 MR. GANNON: And that was true the morning
- 23 before he left Kansas and the morning he was going to
- 24 move out of his apartment. And he could have said --
- 25 JUSTICE KAGAN: And that morning --

- 1 MR. GANNON: -- today is my last day in
- 2 Kansas; I'm a resident right now, but by the --
- 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: That morning, everything was
- 4 current. And then when he left Kansas, he's not subject
- 5 to this statutory provision anymore.
- 6 MR. GANNON: He is subject to the provision
- 7 that requires him to keep it current. He's given a
- 8 three-business-day grace period, but if he fails to
- 9 comply with it by the end of the three business days
- 10 anywhere, that's what we think the problem is. And so
- 11 we do think that --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: You -- you agree --
- 13 MR. GANNON: -- he could have done it --
- 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- that the problem has
- 15 been cured, prospectively.
- 16 MR. GANNON: I -- I -- I think that the new
- 17 statute captures this type of conduct, effectively,
- 18 incidentally, because the new statute actually talks
- 19 about a much broader category of conduct. It talks
- 20 about intended international travel. It doesn't -- it
- 21 doesn't talk per se about changes of residence, but it
- 22 does require you to report your itinerary and the
- 23 purpose of your travel. Therefore --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: So --
- 25 MR. GANNON: -- we would expect it to pick

- 1 up this information.
- 2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So -- so who -- who is
- 3 left out under the new law that would be covered under
- 4 your reading of the old law?
- 5 MR. GANNON: I -- I don't think any -- my
- 6 point is not that somebody is left out under the new
- 7 law. My point is that the new law captures many more
- 8 people.
- 9 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, I'm trying to find
- 10 out what is the effect --
- MR. GANNON: The --
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- of -- of ruling one
- 13 way or another. It's a relatively small category, and
- 14 there is no problem, prospectively. Is that --
- MR. GANNON: Yes. And we -- we acknowledged
- in our brief opposing certiorari that we thought that
- 17 this affected a small category of cases. That was, of
- 18 course, before the new statute took effect. And so we
- 19 do think that there will now -- this type of conduct
- 20 will now be captured under the new statute, but we don't
- 21 think that the new statute indicates that Congress did
- 22 not think this conduct was captured under the old
- 23 statute, because it is directed at a much broader
- 24 category of conduct.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I understood -- I --

- 1 if I understood you correctly, there is still a category
- 2 of people under your interpretation today that would not
- 3 be covered under the new law, because you have to file
- 4 an itinerary, right?
- 5 MR. GANNON: Yes.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. Well, okay.
- 7 This person files an itinerary saying I'm flying to
- 8 the -- the Philippines. And if he takes up residence
- 9 there, then he's still violating the law, under your
- 10 interpretation?
- 11 MR. GANNON: Well, that would be true, Mr.
- 12 Chief Justice, except that the language that we're
- 13 talking about here is the new subsection. It would be
- 14 new 16914(a)(7), and it includes not just the itinerary,
- 15 but also, the offender has to report the means and
- 16 purpose of travel. And so we're expecting that the
- 17 purpose of travel, if it --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So if his purpose is
- 19 I'm going to visit the Philippines, and while there, he
- 20 decides I'm going to set up permanent residence here,
- 21 then you would be able to prosecute him under this
- 22 provision, even though the new law doesn't cover it.
- MR. GANNON: Well, I think --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: His purpose is to
- 25 visit the Philippines. And while in the Philippines, he

- 1 decides to set up residence there.
- 2 MR. GANNON: Well, then, I think that
- 3 would -- that would raise a separate question, and would
- 4 mean that because his purpose had changed, we would --
- 5 we would have to find out whether the attorney general's
- 6 time and manner implementation of this provision, which
- 7 is also authorized under the new statute, requires him
- 8 to report such changes in purpose after he's already in
- 9 the Philippines. And if so, and he doesn't say, well, I
- 10 thought I was here on vacation, but it turns out I'm
- 11 moving my residence, so that may well turn out also to
- 12 be a violation because he needs to report the purpose of
- 13 his travel has changed.
- But my point is just that even though
- 15 Congress has now addressed intended international travel
- 16 and is expecting this to be reported in advance, as the
- 17 title of the new statute indicates, and therefore, we
- 18 wouldn't have to have this debate about whether this is
- 19 a jurisdiction in which he is currently residing when he
- 20 says, I intend to fly to the Philippines in 21 days.
- 21 That debate would -- would be mooted out by the new
- 22 statute.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you -- you read
- 24 16913(a) as saying, where the offender resides or
- 25 resided, is an employee or was an employee, is a student

- 1 or was a student.
- 2 MR. GANNON: I don't think that that's the
- 3 way we're reading the provision, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 4 We're saying that there are two obligations there: one
- 5 is to register and the other is to keep the registration
- 6 current. And if you allow the registry to have
- 7 inaccurate information, that when it -- where you look
- 8 up your current address and it's not your current
- 9 address, then you've violated that obligation. And we
- 10 think that subsection (c) provides additional
- 11 explanation as to what it means to keep the information
- 12 current.
- And it says, you know what? You don't have
- 14 to give advance information, advance notice that you're
- 15 going to be changing your residence. You can do it up
- 16 to three business days thereafter. But you have to
- 17 inform at least one jurisdiction involved. And we think
- 18 that in that situation, especially because another
- 19 provision of the statute makes it clear that the
- 20 jurisdictions that are entitled to the information about
- 21 that change in residence include those from and to which
- 22 the change in residence is made, they get the
- 23 information. They are involved --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: That --
- 25 MR. GANNON: -- in -- in --

- JUSTICE KAGAN: That is 16921(b)? Is that
- 2 the one you're referring to there?
- MR. GANNON: That's correct, 16921(b)(3),
- 4 which is on 11a of the government's brief.
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: But 16921(b)(3) is
- 6 specifically an obligation that's placed on officials.
- 7 It has nothing to do with any obligations on offenders.
- 8 MR. GANNON: That is true, Justice Kagan,
- 9 but what we think it reveals is that it is telling us
- 10 which jurisdictions are involved in the exchange of
- 11 information that is at issue in the change of residence.
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: So but an offender is
- 13 supposed to look at a statute that places only an
- 14 obligation on State officials and to say, really? That
- obligation applies to me as well?
- 16 MR. GANNON: No. We think the offender is
- 17 supposed to look to the notices that he received, which
- 18 said he was supposed to provide notification of every
- 19 change of address. I think any reasonable person, when
- 20 told that he has to give notification of his change of
- 21 address, says, oh, if I decide I'm moving out of the
- 22 country, I don't have to tell every -- anybody, that
- 23 that -- that is actually contrary to a common-sense
- 24 reading of this provision. And we know that the notice
- 25 reinforces the idea that he does have to inform Kansas.

- 1 Now, as Petitioner says, that's clear as a
- 2 matter of State law. We also think that it's -- that
- 3 it's part of the minimum requirements of SORNA, and we
- 4 don't think it's sufficient for the court to say, well,
- 5 Congress expected this to be a State law prosecution and
- 6 didn't want there to be a Federal law prosecution,
- 7 because that would be true of virtually every single
- 8 violation of Section 2250.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: They might have, but it's
- 10 the language that's bothering people. And what -- I'm
- 11 trying to see if there is support for this word
- 12 "involved" which I hadn't really thought of.
- 13 And -- and let's imagine he leaves Kansas.
- 14 He left on Monday. It's now Tuesday. So he doesn't
- 15 reside in Kansas anymore. He's now over residing --
- 16 he's not there anymore. So is Kansas still a
- 17 jurisdiction involved pursuant to subsection (a)?
- 18 MR. GANNON: If --
- JUSTICE BREYER: And your answer is "yes,"
- 20 and I say --
- MR. GANNON: If --
- JUSTICE BREYER: -- okay. You mean any
- 23 jurisdiction that he ever -- no, you said it's not -- it
- 24 doesn't mean "ever." I said, does it mean involved
- 25 right now? No, you said it doesn't mean that, because

- 1 if it's involved right now, it isn't. You mean it was
- 2 involved two days ago?
- 3 And now you have a general definition of
- 4 that. And you mean it was involved because it was the
- 5 last jurisdiction in which he actually resided. That's
- 6 what you -- now, is that basically it?
- 7 MR. GANNON: No, not precisely it. We mean
- 8 that it is involved because he has not told them, I am
- 9 no longer living in Kansas.
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: No, that can't be a reason
- 11 to be involved, because he didn't -- I mean, there are a
- 12 lot of people he didn't tell. I mean --
- 13 MR. GANNON: They are involved because he --
- 14 he is on the rolls there as being a current resident.
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay.
- 16 MR. GANNON: So he has an obligation --
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: In other words, where he is
- 18 on the rolls as being a current resident -- be careful,
- 19 because you run into Thailand, and you know, the -- the
- 20 guy moved 50 years later --
- 21 MR. GANNON: Except he's not going to be on
- 22 the rolls there.
- 23 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, he will be on the rolls
- 24 in Kansas because they never took him off, and -- and
- 25 now it's 20 years later. So you can't --

- 1 MR. GANNON: And he violated --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Let's take what you mean.
- 3 And now -- now I -- I have an idea of it. What support
- 4 is there for that? Is there support in a regulation?
- 5 Is there support in some previous case? Is there
- 6 support -- and I'm thinking focusing narrowly on your
- 7 interpretation of the word "involved."
- 8 MR. GANNON: There is support in the
- 9 Attorney General guidelines to the extent that the
- 10 Attorney General --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Right.
- MR. GANNON: -- guidelines adopted in
- 13 2008 -- and we're not claiming Chevron deference to
- 14 them, but this has been our construction of the statute
- 15 all along.
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: Tell me where it is.
- 17 MR. GANNON: The -- the Attorney General
- 18 guidelines are reprinted at the end of our brief, and
- 19 they specifically say that he is obliged in order to
- 20 keep his registration information current, to --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's the page, please?
- 22 MR. GANNON: This is at page 22a. It says,
- 23 "Even if he doesn't know where he is going next, he is
- 24 required to keep the registration information current by
- 25 informing the jurisdiction if he is terminating his

- 1 residence, employment or school attendance in the
- 2 jurisdiction." That's true in the domestic context.
- And then if you -- if you go on to page 24,
- 4 it -- it articulates the same requirement in the context
- 5 of needing to keep the registration current in
- 6 jurisdictions where someone resides when you're moving
- 7 out of the country.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: This -- this is not
- 9 an administrative law provision. It's a criminal
- 10 statute. The Attorney General's guidelines can't expand
- 11 a fair reading of what Congress made illegal under
- 12 Federal law.
- MR. GANNON: We're not claiming that the
- 14 Attorney General has expanded the text of the statute,
- 15 Mr. Chief Justice. I'm just saying that this is the way
- 16 the Department of Justice has been construing the
- 17 statute since 2008. Jurisdiction's implementing the
- 18 statute. Understand this, and they've been informing
- 19 sex offenders that they have an obligation.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And it's still
- 21 given -- given what I just said, my -- my answer is, so
- 22 what? So what if the Attorney General has been
- 23 construing this since, you know, 1875?
- 24 MR. GANNON: If -- if --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You cannot do

- 1 anything to expand the words that Congress used.
- 2 MR. GANNON: That -- that's -- if -- if you
- 3 disagree that this is a fair reading of the statute, I'm
- 4 not going to tell you that the guidelines make it a fair
- 5 reading of the statute. I --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What you're saying
- 7 is the Attorney General thinks it's a fair reading of
- 8 the statute.
- 9 MR. GANNON: I'm --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So -- so I should
- 11 think it's a fair reading of the statute.
- MR. GANNON: Well, I'm also telling you that
- 13 we think --
- 14 (Laughter.)
- MR. GANNON: I'm -- I'm telling you that we
- 16 think we have good reasons for this construction, and
- Justice Breyer asked if there's anybody who's ever
- 18 adopted this construction before, and I say, yes, the
- 19 attorney general publicly did so in 2008. All
- 20 SORNA-implementing jurisdictions were told this is the
- 21 way the Federal government reads the statute, and
- they're supposed to inform sex offenders of this.
- 23 In this instance, Kansas has informed
- 24 Mr. Nichols that he has an obligation to provide notice
- 25 when he is terminating his residence. They've gone

- 1 above and beyond what SORNA requires by always demanding
- 2 that he inform Kansas, even though SORNA, under our
- 3 reading, would allow him to inform any one jurisdiction
- 4 involved. We think --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Just to -- I
- 6 probably should know this, but Kansas requires him to
- 7 list any change, right? He has to unregister?
- 8 MR. GANNON: He has to -- he has to report
- 9 any change in the residence information, yes.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right. What -- what
- 11 sort of language did they use?
- 12 MR. GANNON: That their language does -- it
- 13 specifically says, "He must reregister any change or
- 14 termination of residence within three days to the
- 15 registering law enforcement agency or agencies where
- 16 last registered."
- So that's the --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But it says "where
- 19 last registered."
- 20 MR. GANNON: Where it specifically picks up
- 21 and says, you have to go back to where you last
- 22 registered, we think that goes beyond -- that's the way
- 23 in which Kansas law goes beyond SORNA, because SORNA
- 24 does not always require that.
- 25 We think that in a situation like this,

- 1 SORNA effectively required that, because there's no
- 2 other place for him to have gone. But we also take
- 3 Petitioner's concession that SORNA permits him to comply
- 4 with the requirement to keep his registration current by
- 5 going to the office in Kansas.
- And it's the same form. There's no doubt
- 7 that it's possible for him to report the change in
- 8 residence there. The form says, what is your address?
- 9 And it has location start date and location end date,
- 10 and those can be reported in advance or after the fact.
- Our position is just that when SORNA gets
- 12 around to saying what it means to keep your registration
- 13 information current, you have to do that in at least one
- 14 place within three business days. And so we think that
- 15 that means he could do it in Kansas even the day after
- 16 he moved.
- 17 If you disagree with that, we still think it
- 18 also must mean at least, as Justice Alito was
- 19 explaining, that he has to do it -- he has to comply
- 20 with it by doing it while there still is one
- 21 jurisdiction. There's no doubt that Kansas was a
- 22 jurisdiction involved while he was still residing there.
- 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Could I -- could I ask a
- 24 question, Mr. Gannon, of absolutely no legal
- 25 significance? Chalk it up to curiosity.

- 1 Does the Federal government bring many of
- 2 these prosecutions? Because I might have thought that
- 3 when sex offenders leave the country permanently, the
- 4 attitude might be like, good luck and good riddance?
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 MR. GANNON: Well, I -- I take the point,
- 7 Justice Kagan, but remember this is somebody who is not
- 8 just a Federal sex offender. He's on Federal
- 9 supervisory release. The notion that we have somebody
- 10 on Federal supervisory release, we're supposed to be
- 11 keeping track of him and making sure that he's not
- 12 committing other crimes. If --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: But you're not -- you're --
- 14 as I understand the requirement that even you say he's
- 15 subject to, it's just a requirement that says he's
- 16 leaving the State. It doesn't -- he's not required to
- 17 tell you where he's going in the world. He could be
- 18 going to the Philippines or any other country. So it
- 19 doesn't really help us in terms of, you know, helping
- 20 our allies deal with sex offenders.
- 21 I'm just curious why it is that people --
- 22 why DOJ makes the decision to bring sex offenders back
- 23 to this country in such circumstances.
- MR. GANNON: Well, as -- as I said, he was a
- 25 Federal sex offender who was on Federal supervisory

- 1 release who appeared to be on the cusp of committing
- 2 additional Federal offenses in the Philippines, and so
- 3 we brought him back. And we do think that there's a
- 4 Federal interest in this case.
- 5 But Section 2250 includes cases where
- 6 somebody is also violating State law, because by
- 7 definition, all of these registration requirements in
- 8 SORNA much of the time are also going to be violations
- 9 of State law.
- 10 And -- and so in this instance, the problem
- 11 is that the registry was inaccurate. It's supposed to
- 12 say where he's living.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: I am right, right, that when
- 14 you say he had the responsibility to keep the registry
- 15 accurate, that's just to say he had the responsibility
- 16 to tell Kansas he was no longer in Kansas.
- 17 MR. GANNON: That's right.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Even on your view, he had no
- 19 other responsibilities.
- 20 MR. GANNON: That -- that's -- that's the
- 21 applicable responsibility here. That's right.
- JUSTICE ALITO: In terms of --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: How -- how do we --
- 24 how do we know -- I'm sorry. Go ahead, please.
- 25 JUSTICE ALITO: In terms of Federal policy,

- 1 hasn't Congress, by the enactment of the new statute and
- 2 in other ways, evidenced a belief that the United States
- 3 should not be exporting its pedophile problems to other
- 4 countries?
- 5 MR. GANNON: Yes, Justice --
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: And countries like the
- 7 Philippines where the -- the Petitioner here went are
- 8 known places that are attractive to sex tourists who go
- 9 there to abuse young children and -- hasn't Congress
- 10 evidenced a concern about this both for the human rights
- 11 aspects of the problem and, also, I would think, for
- 12 relations with the government of the Philippines?
- MR. GANNON: Absolutely, Justice Alito. And
- 14 it -- it would have been a Federal offense for him to
- 15 have engaged in -- in sexually elicit conduct in the
- 16 Philippines.
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: Right. And I completely
- 18 understand that, Mr. Gannon, but even your own
- 19 interpretation doesn't get you the information --
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 (Lights out.)
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I knew we should
- 23 have paid that bill.
- 24 (Laughter.)
- 25 JUSTICE KAGAN: My red light on the bench is

- 1 still working.
- 2 (Laughter.)
- JUSTICE KAGAN: -- does not get you the
- 4 information that you need in order to tell the
- 5 Philippines, look, you have a sex offender in your
- 6 midst.
- 7 MR. GANNON: That's -- that's correct,
- 8 Justice Kagan. The new statute does that as did the
- 9 supplemental guidelines that the Attorney General
- 10 adopted in 2011 under SORNA as additional information
- 11 that was required. That's not what's at issue in this
- 12 particular case.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's so interesting is
- 14 that regulation tells the States to do this.
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah.
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It doesn't tell the sex
- 17 offender that he's obligated to do it. It says the
- 18 States must do it.
- MR. GANNON: It's --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm -- it's a little bit
- 21 odd.
- MR. GANNON: It's true that the guidelines
- 23 were addressed. Both the guidelines and the
- 24 supplemental guidelines were addressed to implementing
- 25 jurisdictions. They were articulating the Federal

- 1 government's construction of the statute and -- and what
- 2 is required.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So now a sex offender is
- 4 supposed to understand what "involved" means and read a
- 5 directive to jurisdictions in your regulation and say,
- 6 ah, that includes me, too.
- 7 MR. GANNON: Well, we -- we --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Jurisdiction is supposed
- 9 to do this, but now I've got to do something more.
- MR. GANNON: Well, but what the jurisdiction
- 11 was told is that it has to inform sex offenders that
- 12 they must do this, and that happened here. Kansas
- informed him that he had to provide notice of any
- 14 termination or change in his residence. That's what was
- 15 required by SORNA.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What makes it a State as
- 17 opposed to a Federal crime? That's really what's at
- 18 issue here.
- 19 MR. GANNON: It --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I understand it's a
- 21 crime.
- MR. GANNON: Yes.
- 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: The question is which
- 24 kind of crime.
- 25 MR. GANNON: Yes. And in this instance,

- 1 Petitioner acknowledges it's a State crime. It is also
- 2 a Federal crime.
- 3 Mr. Chief Justice, May I finish answering?
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Briefly.
- 5 MR. GANNON: Under Section 2250, because
- 6 he's a Federal sexual offender, there doesn't even need
- 7 to be independent travel. It's the violation of the
- 8 SORNA requirements that makes it a Federal offense.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 10 Mr. Hansmeier, you have 11 minutes
- 11 remaining.
- 12 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF DANIEL T. HANSMEIER
- 13 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- MR. HANSMEIER: Sorry, Mr. Chief Justice. I
- don't think I actually reserved time for rebuttal, so
- 16 thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay.
- MR. HANSMEIER: I forgot to say that, but
- 19 thank you.
- I would --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Oh.
- 22 MR. HANSMEIER: Justice Breyer, if I can --
- 23 I'll -- I'll be brief.
- On the guidelines -- this is actually a
- 25 direct quote -- the -- the -- according to the

- 1 Department of Justice, "A jurisdiction may require that
- 2 changes in registration information be reported by
- 3 registrants on a more stringent basis than the SORNA
- 4 minimum standards. For example, requiring that changes
- of residence be reported before the sex offender moves
- 6 rather than within three business days following the
- 7 move."
- I mean, that's our position. I don't --
- 9 there are other portions in -- in the guidelines that
- 10 direct jurisdictions to do this. But that -- but -- but
- 11 what the -- what the guidelines say right there is -- is
- 12 exactly what we say, that it -- it is a more stringent
- 13 requirement.
- 14 A few other points on the word "current." I
- 15 think if you -- if you look in the -- in the -- there's
- 16 a provision on in-person verifications where there is
- 17 requirements for a current photograph. That word
- 18 clearly doesn't mean a future. And I think that's
- 19 important to understand, that when you're talking about
- 20 current information, it's not future information. I
- 21 think Justice Kagan mentioned that a few times.
- 22 On 1621 -- 16921(b)(3), again, that's --
- 23 that's language directed towards the jurisdictions.
- 24 That's not a requirement for the offender, and that's
- 25 how the former jurisdiction would be informed of the

Τ	change.
2	And if there are no other questions.
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel
4	The case is submitted.
5	(Whereupon, at 11:04 a.m., the case in the
6	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Alito 5:12 9:2	Assistant 1:17	42:10,15,17,23	certain 10:9
A 1 12 2 2	10:20 12:4,18	associated 32:24	43:2,11,16	28:13
a.m 1:13 3:2	13:2,4,10	assume 15:6	45:17 51:15	certainly 25:16
55:5	23:22 47:18	atextual 18:19	53:22	certiorari 36:16
able 37:21	49:22,25 50:6	attendance 44:1	brief 13:22	Chalk 47:25
above-entitled	50:13	attitude 48:4	18:10 23:9	chance 18:7
1:11 55:6	allies 48:20	attorney 21:22	36:16 40:4	change 10:10
absolutely 18:16	allow 39:6 46:3	31:13 38:5	43:18 53:23	13:11,14,17
47:24 50:13	allowing 10:16	43:9,10,17	Briefly 53:4	14:8,13,17
abuse 50:9	allows 21:20	44:10,14,22	briefs 8:25	16:11 20:10
accurate 26:7	amount 10:9	45:7,19 51:9	bring 48:1,22	24:7,12 26:16
30:25 33:9	answer 21:9	attractive 50:8	broader 35:19	26:20 29:2,22
49:15	41:19 44:21	authorized 38:7	36:23	30:2 39:21,22
acknowledged	answering 53:3	awful 27:17	brought 49:3	40:11,19,20
36:15	anybody 40:22	awiui 27.17	bunch 15:7	46:7,9,13 47:7
acknowledges	45:17	В	business 10:11	52:14 55:1
16:10 53:1	43.17 anymore 35:5	b 13:25 14:9	13:11,16 14:3	changed 32:18
add 5:15	41:15,16	back 12:5 46:21	14:7 24:6,12	38:4,13
addition 5:5	apartment	48:22 49:3	24:14,16,16,17	changes 32:8
additional 4:18	34:24	based 7:11	29:1,9 35:9	35:21 38:8
39:10 49:2	appear 13:12	basically 42:6	39:16 47:14	54:2,4
51:10	APPEARAN	basis 54:3	54:6	changing 30:4
address 9:13	1:14	bearing 15:9	34.0	39:15
12:10 13:14	appeared 19:16	begins 14:1,2		checks 9:6
39:8,9 40:19	20:16 49:1	behalf 1:15,19	c 2:1 3:1 13:23	Chevron 43:13
40:21 47:8	appears 19:13	2:4,7,10 3:8	14:5,13 15:11	Chief 3:3,9 5:13
addressed 38:15	applicable 49:21	20:3 53:13	23:4,20 25:18	6:24 7:4 19:25
51:23,24	applies 40:15	belief 50:2	34:11 39:10	20:4 22:10,16
administrative	arguing 31:18	believe 27:1	California 9:8	22:22,24 23:6
44:9	31:20	32:22	capacious 20:15	23:10,15,24
admit 10:15	argument 1:12	bench 50:25	25:18 26:11	24:3,5,9,11,21
adopted 43:12	2:2,5,8 3:3,7	beyond 46:1,22	capture 15:20	25:3 26:19,22
45:18 51:10	10:20,25 15:10	46:23	captured 36:20	27:3,7,17,21
advance 38:16	16:2 20:2	bill 50:23	36:22	36:25 37:6,12
39:14,14 47:10	26:23 53:12	bit 51:20	captures 15:18	37:18,24 38:23
adverse 11:5	arguments	blue 13:21,22	35:17 36:7	39:3 44:8,15
agencies 46:15	10:21	book 13:21	careful 42:18	44:20,25 45:6
agency 46:15	arrival 9:14,16	bothering 41:10	case 3:4 4:5 5:9	45:10 46:5,10
ago 3:11 42:2	9:18 13:9	bottom 15:10	5:16 18:22	46:18 49:23
agree 12:13,14	articulates 44:4	18:17	22:11 23:25	50:22 53:3,4,9
12:16,18,24 35:12	articulating	Breyer 28:22	28:11 43:5	53:14,17,21
	51:25	29:4,7,12,15	49:4 51:12	55:3
agrees 13:8	asked 7:10,17,25	29:18,23,25	55:4,5	children 50:9
ah 27:15 30:3	8:9 45:17	30:3,7,10,19	cases 36:17 49:5	Circuit 3:15,18
52:6	aspect 8:14	31:2,22 32:6	category 35:19	circumstances
ahead 49:24	aspects 50:11	32:11,16,20,21	36:13,17,24	48:23
aim 6:4	assist 8:4	41:9,19,22	37:1	citizens 6:8

City 1:15	11:22 13:25	37:3	35:9 38:20	doing 12:15
claiming 43:13	14:4 22:7	creates 10:8,16	39:16 42:2	24:15 47:20
44:13	25:17 36:21	19:14 30:14	46:14 47:14	DOJ 48:22
clear 5:24 14:19	38:15 41:5	crime 3:16 52:17	54:6	domestic 44:2
21:10 26:14	44:11 45:1	52:21,24 53:1	deal 48:20	doubt 47:6,21
28:13 34:18	50:1,9	53:2	debate 38:18,21	draft 5:20
39:19 41:1	connection 8:13	crimes 48:12	decide 40:21	drives 9:8
clearly 54:18	8:14	criminal 44:9	decides 9:4,5	dires 5.0
client 12:6	consequences	crossed 6:2	37:20 38:1	E
Coast 9:6	11:5	crosses 6:22	decision 48:22	e 1:17 2:1,6 3:1
come 6:12,21	construction	cured 35:15	defendant 15:14	3:1 13:24 20:2
12:4 15:19	43:14 45:16,18	curiosity 47:25	15:18 18:25	effect 16:17
comes 17:9	52:1	curious 7:8	19:13	36:10,18
commencement	construing	48:21	deference 43:13	effectively 35:17
16:11	44:16,23	current 14:16	define 9:25	47:1
commit 28:7	content 34:12	20:7,9 21:5,18	defines 19:19	either 34:20
committed 6:15	content 34.12 context 44:2,4	23:3,7,11 25:6	defining 19:12	elicit 50:15
committing	continues 26:14	25:8,12,14	definition 9:24	employed 32:2,7
48:12 49:1	contrary 40:23	26:6,7 31:8,24	42:3 49:7	32:15
common 19:20	conveyed 26:17	33:19,20,22	demanding 46:1	employee 22:12
common-sense	correct 4:8,15	34:13 35:4,7	departing 12:9	22:15 23:13
40:23	5:7,11 7:7,24	39:6,8,8,12	Department	38:25,25
complete 18:7	11:1 15:1,16	42:14,18 43:20	1:18 44:16	employer 32:15
completely	17:24 22:5,16	43:24 44:5	54:1	employment
18:14 50:17	40:3 51:7	47:4,13 54:14	departs 14:25	15:22,23 32:8
compliant 21:14	correctly 18:12	54:17,20	departure 4:8	44:1
complied 21:16	37:1	currently 27:14	6:6,18 11:22	enable 31:25
24:1,18	counsel 19:25	34:20 38:19	33:15	enactment 3:24
comply 24:6,14	53:9 55:3	CURTIS 1:17	deregister 4:12	50:1
24:16 35:9	countries 11:9	2:6 20:2	described 22:8	enforceable 6:12
47:3,19	11:12 50:4,6	cusp 49:1	26:10 27:12	enforcement
conceded 28:7	country 3:12,17	cusp 47.1	details 33:22	46:15
concern 50:10	8:17 14:20		difference 12:20	enforcing 8:5
concerned 5:25	33:16 40:22	D 3:1	different 15:22	engaged 50:15
11:10	44:7 48:3,18	D.C 1:8,18	17:3 27:11	ensure 31:23
concerns 6:20	48:23	da-da-da-da-d	33:12	ensuring 28:21
6:21	county 17:1	29:10	direct 53:25	entitled 39:20
concession 47:3	course 36:18	DANIEL 1:15	54:10	entity 17:13
conduct 35:17	court 1:1,12	2:3,9 3:7 53:12	directed 36:23	especially 39:18
35:19 36:19,22	3:10 18:21,21	date 47:9,9	54:23	ESQ 1:15,17 2:3
36:24 50:15	18:23,24 19:3	day 24:16,17,17	directive 52:5	2:6,9
conformed	19:8 20:5	35:1 47:15	directly 13:23	event 14:11
33:23	28:20 41:4	days 9:20,21	disagree 20:12	everybody 33:11
confusing 9:12	Court's 19:10	10:11,12 13:11	23:18 45:3	evidenced 50:2
Congress 3:22	cover 37:22	13:16 14:3,7	47:17	50:10
5:20,25 10:17	cover 37.22 covered 22:14	16:12 24:6,12	dispute 24:4	exactly 8:11
10:23 11:6,7	23:12 36:3	24:14 29:1,10	distinction 13:1	26:3 54:12
10.23 11.0,7	23.12 30.3		distinction 13.1	

		l	 	1
examination	15:6 16:19,23	Gannon 1:17	4:22 5:5,8 7:9	grace 35:8
10:22	16:24,25 17:5	2:6 20:1,2,4,23	8:16 14:16,19	guess 31:17
example 7:15	17:9,13,17	21:3,12,16	14:22,24 15:3	guidelines 21:22
54:4	27:5 28:18,19	22:5,16,23	16:9,16 17:11	43:9,12,18
exchange 30:8	28:21 41:6	23:2,7,14,18	27:24 28:2,4,9	44:10 45:4
40:10	44:12 45:21	23:25 24:4,8	28:12 35:12,14	51:9,22,23,24
Excuse 26:2	48:1,8,8,10,25	24:10,13 25:2	35:24 36:2,9	53:24 54:9,11
existed 12:3	48:25 49:2,4	25:4,9,13,16	36:12	guy 42:20
expand 44:10	49:25 50:14	25:25 26:2,8	Ginsburg's 7:14	Н
45:1	51:25 52:17	26:20 27:1,5	12:5	
expanded 44:14	53:2,6,8	27:16,20,23	give 14:22 16:12	habitually 10:1
expect 35:25	Federalism 6:20	28:3,9,16,25	22:1 23:21	hand 9:3
expected 41:5	6:21	29:5,11,14,17	26:18 39:14	Hansmeier 1:15
expecting 37:16	Federally 8:22	29:20,24 30:1	40:20	2:3,9 3:6,7,9
38:16	file 37:3	30:4,8,21	given 20:24 33:3	4:7,15 5:7,11
explain 30:16	files 37:7	31:20,23 32:10	35:7 44:21,21	5:22 7:2,6,14
explained 23:23	finally 3:24	32:13,20,22	giving 20:10	7:18,21,24 8:2
explaining	find 17:20 36:9	33:2,3,17,25	24:1	8:7,11,19 9:11
27:25 47:19	38:5	34:6,9,11,22	go 9:21 15:7	9:23 10:7
explanation	finish 53:3	35:1,6,13,16	18:7 31:10	11:13 12:14,23
39:11	firmly 31:2	35:25 36:5,11	44:3 46:21	13:3,6,20
exporting 11:8	first 3:4,18 14:1	36:15 37:5,11	49:24 50:8	14:18,21,23
50:3	fit 12:11	37:23 38:2	goes 4:11 12:21	15:1,5,16 16:4
extent 43:9	five 10:12	39:2,25 40:3,8	13:17 19:5	16:6,15,20
extradited 28:6	fled 7:20	40:16 41:18,21	32:8 46:22,23	17:16,25 18:4
extradition 7:11	fly 38:20	42:7,13,16,21	going 5:14 6:5	18:8,15 53:10
7:13 8:9 28:13	flying 37:7	43:1,8,12,17	9:20,21,21	53:12,14,18,22
F	focuses 26:5	43:22 44:13,24	10:11 12:8	happen 9:4 11:1
	focusing 43:6	45:2,9,12,15	13:7 17:23	11:2 14:11,11
fact 16:17 29:15	following 54:6	46:8,12,20	20:25 21:7	17:17
47:10	foreign 3:12,16	47:24 48:6,24	22:1 28:10	happened 11:23
fail 3:17	9:5 17:23	49:17,20 50:5	31:12 34:23	29:2 52:12
failed 32:3	forgot 53:18	50:13,18 51:7	37:19,20 39:15	happens 4:15
fails 35:8 failure 4:1 19:6	form 16:10,14	51:19,22 52:7	42:21 43:23	14:14
	16:17,18,21,23	52:10,19,22,25	45:4 47:5	hear 3:3
fair 44:11 45:3,4	17:2,3 47:6,8	53:5	48:17,18 49:8	heard 18:23 held 3:15
45:7,11 falls 13:19	former 54:25	gap 18:17	good 9:24 10:2	
farfetched 29:19	forth 13:25	gaps 10:16	33:11 45:16	help 16:4 48:19
29:21	fundamental	general 1:18	48:4,4	helping 48:19
fault 30:19	30:24	21:23 31:13	government	helps 16:7
February 15:8	further 19:23	42:3 43:9,10	13:7 15:6	history 3:21 5:24 10:23
Federal 3:13,16	23:8	43:17 44:14,22	45:21 48:1	11:14,20 12:1
	future 21:21	45:7,19 51:9	50:12	holding 19:9
4:2,16,17,24 5:9,14,20 6:10	54:18,20	general's 38:5	government's	hole 7:12
6:17,19 8:4,4	G	44:10	18:9 19:12	home 9:25
8:12,14 12:24	$\overline{\mathbf{G}3:1}$	getting 17:21	23:9 28:6 40:4	human 11:11
0.12,14 12.24	U J.1	Ginsburg 4:4,9	52:1	numan 11.11
	-	•	•	-

50:10	inescapably	interstate 7:15	33:4 34:1,14	33:2,6,18 34:4
hypothetical	25:20 30:5	9:12	34:17 38:19	34:7,10,16,25
4:22 12:5	inform 13:13	involved 13:13	39:17 41:17,23	35:3,12,14,24
	34:13 39:17	20:11,13 21:18	42:5 43:25	36:2,9,12,25
1	40:25 45:22	22:7,9,18	44:2 46:3	37:6,12,18,24
idea 6:20 40:25	46:2,3 52:11	23:19 24:24	47:21,22 52:8	38:23 39:3,24
43:3	information	25:1,19,20	52:10 54:1,25	40:1,5,8,12
illegal 44:11	11:3 15:13	26:4,4,11,13	jurisdiction's	41:9,19,22
Illinois 4:11,11	19:3 20:7,25	26:15 27:11	4:2 44:17	42:10,15,17,23
4:13,24,25 5:1	21:5,17,21	28:23,24,25	jurisdictions	43:2,11,16,21
5:2 12:6,10,10	23:3,7 25:6	29:8,9,9,21,22	3:25 11:24	44:8,15,16,20
imagine 41:13	26:16 30:9	29:25 30:1,6	12:3 15:14	44:25 45:6,10
immediately	31:24 32:24	30:11,22 32:12	20:9 25:9	45:17 46:5,10
15:13	36:1 39:7,11	32:25 34:17	34:14,19,20	46:18 47:18,23
implementation	39:14,20,23	39:17,23 40:10	39:20 40:10	48:7,13 49:13
18:12 38:6	40:11 43:20,24	41:12,17,24	44:6 45:20	49:18,22,23,25
implemented	46:9 47:13	42:1,2,4,8,11	51:25 52:5	50:5,6,13,17
16:22	50:19 51:4,10	42:13 43:7	54:10,23	50:22,25 51:3
implementing	54:2,20,20	46:4 47:22	Justice 1:18 3:3	51:8,13,15,16
44:17 51:24	informed 34:2	52:4	3:9 4:4,9,22	51:20 52:3,8
implications	34:14,17 45:23	involvement	5:5,8,12,13	52:16,20,23
11:11	52:13 54:25	30:14	6:24 7:4,8,9,14	53:3,4,9,14,17
important 11:17	informing 17:14	issue 18:13	7:16,19,22,25	53:21,22 54:1
13:22 54:19	24:18 43:25	40:11 51:11	8:6,8,16,24 9:2	54:21 55:3
in-depth 17:8	44:18	52:18	9:3,18 10:6,20	
in-person 54:16	informs 23:4	itinerary 35:22	12:4,5,18 13:2	K
inaccurate	initial 6:1	37:4,7,14	13:4,10 14:16	Kagan 25:12,14
10:12 11:3,3	inoperative		14:19,22,24	25:23 26:2,9
39:7 49:11	23:16	J	15:3,9 16:1,5,9	33:2,6,18 34:4
inaccurately	instance 15:18	job 9:24 10:2	16:16 17:11,20	34:7,10,16,25
32:4	45:23 49:10	32:15	18:1,6,13	35:3 39:24
incidentally	52:25	jurisdiction 5:2	19:25 20:4,18	40:1,5,8,12
35:18	intend 38:20	6:3,6,18 9:13	21:1,9,13 22:3	47:23 48:7,13
include 3:19,22	intended 10:23	9:14,16,19	22:10,17,22,24	49:13,18 50:17
39:21	11:19 18:17	13:9,12,14	23:6,10,15,22	50:25 51:3,8
included 5:19	35:20 38:15	14:24 15:11,12	23:24 24:3,5,9	54:21
includes 37:14	interest 28:18	15:22,23,24,24	24:11,21 25:3	Kan 1:15
49:5 52:6	28:21 49:4	19:13 20:11,13	25:8,12,14,23	Kansas 1:15 4:5
independent	interesting	21:18,24,24	26:2,8,19,22	4:6,8,10,13,14
53:7	17:20 51:13	22:2,4,6,8,9,12	27:3,7,17,21	4:20,23 5:3
indicate 11:15	international	22:14,18,25	27:24 28:2,4,9	6:10,11,16 9:7
indicates 36:21	11:16 35:20	23:12,12,19,20	28:12,22 29:4	11:2 12:6,8,21
38:17	38:15	23:22 24:23	29:7,12,15,18	13:18,19 15:19
indication 12:1	interpretation	25:1,19 26:4,4	29:23,25 30:3	16:22 17:5,6,8
indict 15:7	18:19 28:15,22	26:10,17,18,18	30:7,10,19	19:16,21 20:13
individual 4:23	31:5 37:2,10	27:12 29:22,23	31:2,22 32:6	20:19 21:14,25
6:22 10:8	43:7 50:19	30:11,12 32:1	32:11,16,20,21	21:25 22:1,6
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

1				
22:20 23:19	12:12 15:17	line 18:18	mean 11:19,25	N
24:2,18 25:20	17:9 34:10	lines 6:2,22	25:25 29:8,8	$\frac{1}{N}$ 2:1,1 3:1
26:7,13,14	37:12 41:10	list 46:7	32:11 38:4	name 10:10
28:3 30:5,5	46:11,12 54:23	listed 32:14	41:22,24,24,25	
32:4,9,11,13	Laughter 30:18	listing 30:25	42:1,4,7,11,12	narrowly 43:6
32:19,24,25	45:14 48:5	32:1,1	43:2 47:18	need 51:4 53:6
33:1 34:1,23	50:20,24 51:2	literally 31:4	54:8,18	needing 44:5
35:2,4 40:25	law 4:2,3,5,6,24	little 9:11 29:19	meaning 20:14	needs 29:2 38:12
41:13,15,16	5:14,16,17,20	31:3 51:20	means 23:4 26:3	never 42:24
42:9,24 45:23	5:21 7:10,11	live 6:10 9:7	26:4 28:24,25	new 7:7 11:17
46:2,6,23 47:5	7:12 8:1,10	15:19 19:21	29:9,11,17,21	12:10 17:21
47:15,21 49:16	12:16 14:17	lived 19:15	33:22 34:12	18:14 26:16
49:16 52:12	17:5,5,8,9 18:2	32:17	37:15 39:11	35:16,18 36:3
keep 20:7,8 21:5	19:4 27:23	lives 10:1 19:17	47:12,15 52:4	36:6,7,18,20
21:17 22:21	28:8 30:24	34:20	meant 14:4	36:21 37:3,13
23:3,4,11 25:5	33:8,12,13	living 32:4,5,6	mention 18:20	37:14,22 38:7
31:8 33:19,20	36:3,4,7,7 37:3	33:1 42:9	mention 18:20 mentioned 8:24	38:17,21 50:1
	, , ,			51:8
33:22 34:12	37:9,22 41:2,5 41:6 44:9,12	49:12	10:22 54:21	Nichols 1:3 3:4
35:7 39:5,11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	local 17:1	midst 51:6	6:14 7:21 8:12
43:20,24 44:5	46:15,23 49:6	location 9:25	mind 19:10	16:14 19:15
47:4,12 49:14	49:9	10:1 47:9,9	mine 30:21	45:24
keeping 48:11	layperson 27:18	long 32:25	minimum 4:16	normally 4:10
KENNEDY	leave 8:16 31:10	longer 21:7	4:17 6:23	21:23
8:24 9:3,18	31:11 33:16	30:13,14 33:5	10:19 41:3	note 19:11
10:6 15:9 16:1	48:3	42:9 49:16	54:4	notice 8:17
16:5 20:18	Leavenworth	look 5:23 9:21	minutes 53:10	14:22 16:12
21:1,9,13 22:3	32:4 33:1	11:14 27:8	missing 5:25 6:5	20:10 23:21
25:8	leaves 4:10 6:15	28:23 31:7	Missouri 15:20	24:2 39:14
key 14:9 22:6	12:21 14:20	33:13 39:7	19:1,3,4	40:24 45:24
kind 52:24	41:13	40:13,17 51:5	Monday 41:14	52:13
kinds 31:16	leaving 8:16	54:15	mooted 38:21	notices 27:24
knew 10:18	13:15 21:14,25		morning 3:4	40:17
50:22	31:10 48:16	31:12	34:22,23,25	notification
know 9:19 11:18	left 7:3,4 18:3,3	lot 11:4,5 15:19	35:3	11:22 33:4,15
16:7 18:8	24:2,19 34:23	17:1 27:17	move 3:17 5:4	40:18,20
26:13 30:4,16	35:4 36:3,6	42:12	9:6 34:24 54:7	notified 29:3
30:23 31:16,17	41:14	luck 48:4	moved 3:12 7:21	notify 4:14
33:11 34:4	legal 47:24		19:1 42:20	15:24 20:19
39:13 40:24	legislation 11:17	<u>M</u>	47:16	notion 48:9
42:19 43:23	17:21 18:14	making 48:11	moves 3:16 12:6	
44:23 46:6	legislative 5:24	manner 38:6	54:5	0
48:19 49:24	10:23 11:14	March 1:9	moving 12:9	O 2:1 3:1
known 50:8	LESTER 1:3	marshals 8:4	20:20 21:24	obligated 51:17
Korea 32:18	let's 28:23 41:13	matter 1:11	32:15 38:11	obligation 4:12
	43:2	19:20 41:2	40:21 44:6	4:13,20,21
L 12.7	light 50:25	55:6	multiple 28:19	21:17 23:16
language 12:7	Lights 50:21	maximum 26:24		27:25 31:23
	I	I	I	I

]]
39:9 40:6,14	opposed 52:17	12:7,21 13:12	possible 47:7	purpose 30:24
40:15 42:16	opposing 36:16	17:18 29:12	practice 4:16	31:25 32:3
44:19 45:24	option 24:15	37:7 40:19	17:17	33:8,10 35:23
obligations 39:4	oral 1:11 2:2,5	person's 18:3	pre 15:4	37:16,17,18,24
40:7	3:7 20:2	Petitioner 1:4,16	pre-2016 15:4	38:4,8,12
obliged 43:19	order 30:24	2:4,10 3:8 20:6	precisely 42:7	purposes 31:2
Obviously 27:9	43:19 51:4	20:12 41:1	presence 11:4	pursuant 13:13
occurrence	Oregon 9:8	50:7 53:1,13	prevent 12:7	20:13 22:7,9
14:11	outset 10:22	Petitioner's 47:3	prevents 12:15	22:19 24:24
occurs 26:21	outside 16:13	Philippines 7:20	previous 43:5	25:19,24 26:5
odd 51:21	overarching	11:9 12:22	prior 13:15 15:8	26:11 34:17
offender 3:11,16	3:18	19:16,22 20:21	prison 18:25	41:17
6:2,9,14 11:4		22:3,13 23:17	probably 46:6	pursued 28:5
22:12 27:13	P	28:5 32:7,17	problem 6:16,25	put 12:2
28:19 30:25	P 3:1	37:8,19,25,25	7:1,2 18:13	puts 19:3
37:15 38:24	page 2:2 13:21	38:9,20 48:18	34:15 35:10,14	
40:12,16 48:8	23:8,8 43:21	49:2 50:7,12	36:14 49:10	Q
48:25 51:5,17	43:22 44:3	50:16 51:5	50:11	question 16:1
52:3 53:6 54:5	paid 50:23	photograph	problems 50:3	38:3 47:24
54:24	parentheses	54:17	produce 10:24	52:23
offenders 4:1	19:4	phrase 14:2	produced 10:24	questions 19:24
6:1,4,19 10:16	parse 27:18	20:15,16 21:8	promulgated	55:2
16:23 31:1	part 23:2 41:3	22:18 25:18	21:22	quotation 17:5
32:2 33:7 40:7	particular 26:5	pick 7:12 35:25	prosecute 37:21	quote 53:25
44:19 45:22	28:18 51:12	picks 46:20	prosecuted 8:22	
48:3,20,22	path 18:22	place 17:23	prosecution	$\frac{R}{R^{2.1}}$
52:11	pedophile 50:3	23:17 27:13	28:18 41:5,6	R3:1
offense 6:15	pedophiles 11:8	32:5 47:2,14	prosecutions	raise 38:3
16:19 19:7	penalty 26:24,25	placed 40:6	48:2	RAY 1:3
27:24 28:7	27:18	places 40:13	prospectively	read 29:16 31:3
50:14 53:8	Pennsylvania	50:8	35:15 36:14	38:23 52:4
offenses 49:2	19:2,5,6	plausible 10:25	provide 15:13	reader 33:14
office 12:8 13:18	people 11:5 15:4	play 6:21	30:25 33:15	reading 18:11
17:14 47:5	15:4,7,19	played 6:9	40:18 45:24	19:12 25:24,25
offices 16:24	17:19 31:11	please 3:10 20:5	52:13	28:6 34:3 36:4
officials 8:4	36:8 37:2	43:21 49:24	provides 33:21	39:3 40:24
16:25 40:6,14	41:10 42:12	point 18:23	34:12 39:10	44:11 45:3,5,7
oh 18:15 32:16	48:21	25:17 29:1	provision 8:2	45:11 46:3
40:21 42:23	period 10:17	36:6,7 38:14	13:6 14:15	reads 33:14
53:21	13:15 21:15	48:6	18:4,9,12	45:21
okay 27:11 37:6	35:8	pointed 27:25	26:15,25 27:6	reality 10:15
37:6 41:22	permanent 7:12	points 54:14	28:14 35:5,6	really 8:8 9:13
42:15 53:17	37:20	policy 49:25	37:22 38:6	10:25 11:7
old 36:4,22	permanently	portions 54:9	39:3,19 40:24	13:24 14:14
once 32:12	48:3	position 19:7	44:9 54:16	19:14 40:14
one's 9:25	permits 47:3	20:18 47:11	publicly 45:19	41:12 48:19
operative 16:17	person 4:10 5:10	54:8	pulled 22:18	52:17
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

reason 18:19	32:14,23 34:13	required 11:22	39:22 40:11	rights 11:11
42:10	39:5 43:20,24	11:23 15:14	44:1 45:25	50:10
reasonable	44:5 47:4,12	17:7,8 19:4	46:9,14 47:8	ROBERTS 3:3
33:14 40:19	49:7 54:2	20:6,19 21:1,4	52:14 54:5	5:13 6:24 7:4
reasons 3:18	registries 33:9	21:4 22:19,20	resident 19:14	19:25 22:10,22
28:19 45:16	registry 10:9,12	23:1 43:24	26:7 30:23	22:24 23:6,10
rebuttal 2:8	12:8 13:18	47:1 48:16	34:2 35:2	23:15,24 24:3
53:12,15	19:14,17 26:7	51:11 52:2,15	42:14,18	24:5,9,11,21
received 8:20	30:25 31:24,25	requirement	resides 5:2 9:25	25:3 26:19,22
40:17	33:1,21 39:6	3:13,19,22,24	19:18,19 21:20	27:3,7,17,21
recognize 33:8	49:11,14	4:8,25 5:9 6:11	22:12,14 23:13	36:25 37:6,18
recognized	regulation 43:4	6:17,23 8:5 9:9	24:25 25:4,15	37:24 38:23
28:20	51:14 52:5	12:25 13:3,9	27:13,14,15	44:8,20,25
record 11:3	regulations	16:11 20:8,8	38:24 44:6	45:6,10 46:5
red 50:25	31:14,15	31:9 32:23	residing 13:18	46:10,18 49:23
reference 9:1	reinforced 20:17	44:4 47:4	21:6,7 25:10	50:22 53:4,9
16:9	25:21	48:14,15 54:13	38:19 41:15	53:17,21 55:3
referring 17:4	reinforces 40:25	54:24	47:22	rolls 42:14,18,22
40:2	relations 11:11	requirements	resolved 18:14	42:23
refers 22:11	50:12	4:18,19 17:13	responded 7:9	rule 9:15
regard 11:17	relationship 6:7	33:24 41:3	Respondent	ruling 36:12
register 4:12,25	6:7	49:7 53:8	1:19 2:7 20:3	run 42:19
5:17 6:13,25	relatively 36:13	54:17	responsibilities	
9:16 10:17	release 8:13,18	requires 8:3	49:19	<u>S</u>
15:15 16:11	8:20 48:9,10	18:2 23:21	responsibility	S 2:1 3:1
17:7,12,18	49:1	33:15 35:7	49:14,15,21	sanctions 5:15
19:6 20:6	released 19:1	38:7 46:1,6	result 10:24	satisfied 17:14
22:19 23:1	relevance 16:2	requiring 6:3	retains 11:2	satisfy 14:14
27:9,10,13	remain 11:6	54:4	returned 3:14	saying 6:17 7:16
39:5	remaining 53:11	reregister 46:13	7:19 8:1	13:25 16:16,20
registered 15:12	remains 32:25	reserved 53:15	reveals 3:21	17:16 21:5
19:1 20:10	remember 48:7	reside 17:22	40:9	26:10 28:24
25:10,21 30:23	report 3:17	19:21 21:20	revoked 8:20	31:6 33:7 37:7
46:16,19,22	10:10 13:9	27:15 31:1	Reynolds 18:21	38:24 39:4
registering 7:5	14:12,14 21:20	32:2 41:15	18:22,24 19:8	44:15 45:6
19:5 46:15	21:21 28:1	resided 38:25	riddance 48:4	47:12
registers 4:11	31:17 35:22	42:5	right 8:12 14:18	says 5:14,17
registrant 22:20	37:15 38:8,12	residence 9:19	14:21,23 19:18	9:15 13:10
registrants 54:3	46:8 47:7	13:12,17 15:23	19:20 22:10	17:22 18:25,25
registration	reported 38:16	15:24 16:12	24:3 26:19	19:2 21:23
3:13 5:1 6:1,3	47:10 54:2,5	20:11,20,22,24	28:24 30:10	22:25 23:2
7:7 8:5 9:9	reporting 12:9	26:6,16,21	33:19 35:2	24:23,25 25:5 25:18 26:15
11:21 16:24	reprinted 43:18	28:1 29:2 30:2	37:4 41:25	27:11,11,12,15
17:7 20:7,9	require 4:1 6:25	30:13,13,15	42:1 43:11	31:9,13,16
21:5,17 23:3,5	7:1 13:7 34:3	32:18,24 35:21	46:7,10 49:13	32:4 33:1,14
23:11,17 25:5	35:22 46:24	37:8,20 38:1	49:13,17,21	34:13,16 38:20
27:21 31:8	54:1	38:11 39:15,21	50:17 54:11	J 1 .13,10 30.40
	-	-	=	-

39:13 40:21	significant 16:2	17:20 18:1,6	34:10 35:5	27:14 29:12,15
41:1 43:22	signs 16:10	18:13 43:21	strained 28:15	31:6,7,7 33:8
46:13,18,21	silence 29:16	51:13,16,20	stringent 4:18	33:19 40:13,17
47:8 48:15	similar 14:5,9	52:3,8,16,20	4:19 54:3,12	40:18 45:22
51:17	simply 21:14	52:23	strong 28:17	48:10 49:11
school 44:1	single 28:10	sought 28:13,17	structurally	52:4,8
se 35:21	33:23 41:7	South 32:18	14:5	Supreme 1:1,12
second 3:21 14:2	situation 12:20	special 28:21	student 22:13,15	sure 9:7 10:3
18:22	12:20 15:21	30:12	23:13 32:3	48:11
Section 20:17	19:15 39:18	specific 33:22	34:21 38:25	system 10:8
21:19 25:6,22	46:25	specifically 40:6	39:1	11:21
26:14 28:11	six-month 8:22	43:19 46:13,20	subject 3:12	
41:8 49:5 53:5	small 15:6 36:13	standards 4:16	35:4,6 48:15	T
see 11:5 12:19	36:17	4:17 10:19	submitted 55:4	T 1:15 2:1,1,3,9
17:21 29:4	Solicitor 1:17	54:4	55:6	3:7 53:12
30:7 41:11	somebody 27:8	start 47:9	subsection 13:13	take 18:19 25:17
seek 7:13	36:6 48:7,9	State 4:23 5:9,10	13:19,23,24,25	31:15,15 43:2
sense 5:13 19:20	49:6	5:16,17 6:2,8,9	14:5,9,13	47:2 48:6
sentence 8:21,23	somebody's	6:14,22 7:7,9	20:14,16 22:7	takes 9:8 37:8
15:10,11,20	21:23	7:11 8:1 11:21	22:8,9,11,19	talk 19:5 35:21
29:6	somewhat 5:24	12:16 16:21,21	23:4,20 24:24	talking 7:18 8:9
sentenced 14:10	soon 26:16	16:23 17:2,2	24:24 25:18,19	15:3,5 18:24
separate 17:12	SORNA 4:10,16	17:14,18 18:3	25:24 26:5,10	34:19 37:13
38:3	5:10 6:12,21	27:23 28:4,7	26:12 34:11,18	54:19
serve 33:10	6:23 7:3,22 8:3	40:14 41:2,5	34:18 37:13	talks 35:18,19
set 37:20 38:1	9:23 10:2,15	48:16 49:6,9	39:10 41:17	tell 4:8,25 5:3,3
sets 4:16 13:25	10:18 11:15	52:16 53:1	subsections 14:1	17:18,24 18:2
sex 3:11,16 4:1	12:2 14:20	States 1:1,6,12	subset 15:6	21:25 31:10
5:25 6:2,9,14	16:10,22 17:13	3:5,13,14 4:18	sudden 6:25	32:9,19 40:22
11:4 16:23	18:22 19:17,19	7:3,5,10 8:5	sufficient 12:24	42:12 43:16
28:19 30:25	20:6 21:14	9:5 10:18 11:8	13:5 41:4	45:4 48:17
31:1 32:2	22:14 28:6	16:13,22 17:24	summaries 17:5	49:16 51:4,16
33:21 44:19	41:3 46:1,2,23	28:20 50:2	supervised 8:13	telling 40:9
45:22 48:3,8	46:23 47:1,3	51:14,18	8:18,20	45:12,15
48:20,22,25	47:11 49:8	statute 12:7,12	supervision 8:15	tells 26:3 51:14
50:8 51:5,16	51:10 52:15	19:19 22:4	supervisory	ten 27:1,7,18
52:3,11 54:5	53:8 54:3	25:24 33:21	48:9,10,25	ten-month 8:21
sexual 53:6	SORNA's 3:19	35:17,18 36:18	supplement	Tenth 3:15,17
sexually 50:15	3:21,24	36:20,21,23	10:18	terminating
sheriffs 17:1	SORNA-impl	38:7,17,22	supplemental	20:20,21,24
shows 10:23	45:20	39:19 40:13	18:10 19:12	43:25 45:25
11:20	sorry 49:24	43:14 44:10,14	51:9,24	termination
sign 16:24	53:14	44:17,18 45:3	supplemented	16:12 28:1
signed 16:14	sort 10:24 46:11	45:5,8,11,21	4:17	46:14 52:14
27:24	SOTOMAYOR	50:1 51:8 52:1	support 41:11	terms 8:17 48:19
significance	7:8,16,19,22	statutory 3:21	43:3,4,5,6,8	49:22,25
47:25	7:25 8:6,8	11:25 15:2	supposed 27:8	text 3:19 13:20
L	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

22.12.12.14	10.11 12 11 16	Arming D 15 17		
33:12,13,14 44:14	10:11 13:11,16	typically 15:17	venues 5:6	weeks 3:11 9:9
	14:3,7 24:6,12	U	verifications 54:16	weird 19:15
textual 10:20 Thailand 32:8	24:14,17 29:1 29:9 35:9	${\text{U.S } 18:2}$	versa 15:25	went 50:7 West 9:6
42:19	39:16 46:14	U.S.C 13:5	vice 15:25	west 9:6 window 10:4,5
thank 19:24,25	47:14 54:6	unconcerned	view 21:11 26:9	wonder 10:25
53:9,16,19	three-business	11:8	49:18	word 14:1 26:11
55:3	10:5 35:8	underlying 6:20	violate 5:15 7:9	28:23 41:11
thing 17:1 22:17	time 10:9,17	33:8,10	8:17	43:7 54:14,17
31:5	13:17 25:15	understand	violated 4:5 39:9	words 15:12
things 11:1,2	26:6 29:1 38:6	10:21 21:10	43:1	29:7 42:17
16:25 31:16	49:8 53:15	26:8,22,23	violating 26:25	45:1
think 5:11,22,24	timely 20:10	29:13 30:11,17	27:5 37:9 49:6	work 4:9 6:19
6:9,19 8:3,7,11	times 54:21	44:18 48:14	violation 4:2	15:19 32:8
9:11,14,23	title 38:17	50:18 52:4,20	7:11,23 8:1	working 51:1
10:2,4,9,14,17	today 35:1 37:2	54:19	27:22 28:5	works 34:20
11:7,9,13,16	told 40:20 42:8	understood	38:12 41:8	world 48:17
11:19,25 12:25	45:20 52:11	36:25 37:1	53:7	wouldn't 38:18
13:8,21,21,22	tough 31:3	undertook 16:18	violations 49:8	wrong 3:18 7:12
13:24 14:4,8,8	tough 51.5	unintended 10:3	virtually 28:10	8:10 27:19
15:18 16:6,7	track 48:11	United 1:1,6,12	41:7	0.10 27.17
18:4,11,15,16	travel 6:12 7:15	3:5,13,14 7:10	visit 37:19,25	X
18:21 19:7,9	9:5,12 11:16	9:5 11:8 16:13		x 1:2,7
20:12 21:9,19	17:23 35:20,23	17:24 28:20	W	
22:6,17 25:6	37:16,17 38:13	50:2	want 10:14	Y
25:17,21 27:3	38:15 53:7	unnecessary	18:20 19:11	Yeah 9:2 27:3
27:8 28:17	traveled 15:8	3:25	27:10 41:6	31:22 51:15
29:5,20,21	traveling 16:13	unregister 3:20	wanted 3:22	year 11:21
30:22 32:25	trigger 14:8,10	3:23,25 4:1,20	11:6	years 27:1,7,19
33:25 35:10,11	triggered 5:17	4:23 5:18,18	wants 9:6,7	32:7,17 42:20
35:16 36:5,19	true 4:4,6 18:16	5:19 6:11,18	warning 22:2	42:25
36:21,22 37:23	24:12,13 25:16	7:1 9:15 27:10	Washington 1:8	young 50:9
38:2 39:2,10	28:9,10 33:5	46:7	1:18 9:8	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
39:17 40:9,16	34:1,22 37:11	unregistering	wasn't 10:3 28:2	zero 34:14
40:19 41:2,4	40:8 41:7 44:2	7:5	28:4,12	Zeru 34.14
45:11,13,16	51:22	unregistration	way 10:13 18:9	0
46:4,22,25	trying 30:10	11:23 12:2	19:19 30:12	
47:14,17 49:3	36:9 41:11	20:8	33:14 36:13	1
50:11 53:15	Tuesday 1:9	update 4:25	39:3 44:15	1 1:9
54:15,18,21	41:14	17:7	45:21 46:22	10:13 1:13 3:2
thinking 31:9,11	turn 38:11	updating 7:6	ways 50:2	11 53:10
43:6	turns 38:10	19:2 32:23	We'll 3:3	11(a) 15:10
thinks 45:7	two 9:8,20,21	use 46:11	we're 5:14 6:17	11:04 55:5
thought 24:23	11:1,2 13:25	V	8:8 15:5 31:20	11a 13:21 40:4
36:16 38:10	24:17 39:4		37:12,16 39:3	15 32:6,17
41:12 48:2	42:2	v 1:5 3:4	39:4 43:13	15-5238 1:4 3:4
three 3:11,18	type 35:17 36:19	vacation 38:10	44:13 48:10	16139(c) 19:7
	I	I	I	I
Alderson Reporting Company				

T-			65
1 (01 51 00	607.16		
1621 54:22	6 27:16		
16913(a) 38:24	7		
16913(c) 9:15			
13:5 18:19	7A 23:8		
16914(a)(3)	8		
21:19 26:23			
27:15	8th 15:8		
16914(a)(7)	9		
37:14			
16917 8:3			
16921 25:7			
16921(b) 40:1			
16921(b)(3) 5:3			
20:17 25:22			
26:14 40:3,5			
54:22			
1875 44:23			
19 8:3			
1996 11:21			
2			
2 14:9			
20 2:7 42:25			
2006 11:15,23			
2008 21:23			
43:13 44:17			
45:19			
2011 51:10			
2016 1:9 14:17			
21 16:12 38:20			
2250 28:11 41:8			
49:5 53:5			
22a 43:22			
24 44:3			
$\frac{3}{32:4}$			
J 4.4			
4			
4 18:11			
42 13:5			
5			
50 42:20			
53 2:10			
56 3:25			
6			
	l	I	l